



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/993,206	11/16/2001	Brian K. Linstedt	J-3259A	8075		
28165	7590 09/24/2003					
S.C. JOHNSON & SON, INC.			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
1525 HOWE S RACINE, WI		HWU, DAVIS D				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3752	<b>X</b>		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	8		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		Application No.		Applicant(s)	\v\
		09/993,206		LINSTEDT ET AL.	•
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Davis Hwu		3752	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with the co	orrespondence add	lress
A SHOF THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t - Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. which for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimur ill apply and will expire SIX ( cause the application to be	may a reply be time n of thirty (30) days 6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this con (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 N</u>	lovember 2001			
·		s action is non-final.			
3)□ 8	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	nce except for form	al matters, pro		e merits is
·	laim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.				
•	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		n.		
	laim(s) is/are allowed.				
	laim(s) is/are rejected.				
	laim(s) is/are objected to.				
•	laim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement			
	e specification is objected to by the Examiner	:			
-	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		o by the Exam	niner.	
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) 🗌 Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)  approved b	) disapprov	ed by the Examine	r.
I	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.			
12) 🗌 Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) <u></u>	All b) Some * c) None of:				
1.	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been receive	đ.		
2.	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	d in Applicatio	n No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2	?(a)).		Stage
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•			application)
, –	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •			
Attachment(s)	)				
2) Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		tice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO	
S. Patent and Trade		tion Summary	K	Part of	Paper No. 8

Application/Control Number: 09/993,206

Art Unit: 3752

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-32, 34-44, and 46-47, drawn to an automated sprayer, classified in class 239, subclass 222.11.
  - II. Claim 33, drawn to a method, classified in class 239, subclass 1.
  - III. Claim 45, drawn to a container, classified in class 220, subclass 4.23.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the apparatus of claims 1-32, 34-44, and 46 do not require the ability to perform a first countdown.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the apparatus of III does not require the ability to perform the first and second countdowns.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

Application/Control Number: 09/993,206

Art Unit: 3752

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention of I can be operated with a container having structural limitations other than those of invention III.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: Claims 1-32;

Species 2: Claims 34-40;

Species 3: Claims 41-43;

Species 4: Claim 44;

Species 5: Claims 46 and 47.

- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, non of the claims appear to be generic.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,206

Art Unit: 3752

7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis Hwu whose telephone number is 703-305-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703)308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Davis Hwu